

Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences

Determination of the diamagnetic susceptibility χ_{diam} and nuclear magnetic Shielding σ_{magn} constants for some atomic and ionic system.

Khalil Hadyi Ahmad¹, Enas M. Alrobayi², and Sabah Mahmoud Aman Allah³.

¹Physics Department, College of Sciences for women, Baghdad University, Baghdad, Iraq.
 ²Department of Laser Physics, College of Sciences for Women, Babylon University, Hilla, Iraq.
 ³Physics Department, College of Science, Tikrit University, Tikrit, Iraq, P.Box.O:42, Salahdin, Iraq.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, two atomic parameter has been evaluated for several atomic and ionic systems: He, Li, Be, B, Ne, H⁻¹, Li⁻¹, B⁺, C⁺¹, N⁺³ and C⁺⁴. These parameters are, nuclear magnetic shielding σ_{magn} and diamagnetic susceptibility χ_{diam} constants which are very important in the study of physical properties of the atoms and ions. For this purpose some expectation values of inter-electronic distances like $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ and $\langle r^2 \rangle$ which based upon the uncorrelated Hartree –Fock wave functions are used. All the results obtained in this work are shows the behaviors of σ_{magn} and χ_{diam} are function of the atomic number Z within system. Keywords: Hartree-Fock wave function, magnetic shielding σ_{magn} and diamagnetic susceptibility χ_{diam} , atomic and ionic, Expectation values.





INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in theoretical and computational methods ,have enabled the ab initio studies of a wide variety of molecular electric, magnetic, and optical properties. In particular, properties arising from the nonlinear response of the atomic and ionic systems to a combination of electric and magnetic fields can now be calculated[1]. These properties are nuclear magnetic shielding constants χ_{diam} and σ_{magn} which are important in many applications ,like Nuclear Magnetic Resonance NMR imaging . The increasing interest is partly indebted to recent improvements and availability of computers and workstations[2]. The diamagnetic susceptibility χ_{diam} of some elements and ions systems have been calculated in recent years by number of workers with employing several wave functions [3,4,5]. A series of studies about the relativistic effects on the nuclear magnetic shielding constants for certain atomic ,positive and negative ions systems by depending on the some expectation values of inter-electronic distances $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ and $\langle r^2 \rangle$ from Richard and Larsson [14]as shown in table1. All the obtained results are analyzed and interpreted for these different systems .

Computational details

The Diamagnetic susceptibility χ^{\cdot}_{diam}

The magnetic susceptibility may be either positive or negative, when χ_{magn}^{\cdot} <0,the magnetization opposes the applied field and the magnetic induction in the medium is lower than it would be in a vacuum; such materials are classified as diamagnetic. But for χ_{magn}^{\cdot} >0, the magnetization adds to the applied field and increases the magnetic induction inside the material. Such substances are called paramagnetic. Therefore, we express it as the sum of a negative diamagnetic susceptibility, χ_{diam} , and a positive paramagnetic susceptibility, χ_{naram} given by the equation[15] :

In which $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7} N/A^2$ is the permeability of vacuum space m_e electron mass, ℓ_{0n} is the orbital angular momentum $\Delta E_{0n} = E_n^{(0)} - E_0^{(0)}$ represent the energy perturbation difference between the n and ground states . It should be emphasized that this paramagnetic contribution to the susceptibility has nothing to do with electron spin and unlike spin paramagnetic , is independent of temperature . The diamagnetic contribution is often called the Langevin term . Hence the susceptibility of a sample depends on the competition between the diamagnetic and paramagnetic contributions. In free atoms, the paramagnetic contribution is zero because we are free to choose the z-direction as the axis of quantization of the z-component magnetization; as a result , the total molar susceptibility of a sample of atoms or ions is therefore [15]:

$$\chi_{diam} = -\left(\frac{N_A e^2 \mu_0}{6m_e}\right) \langle r^2 \rangle....(2)$$

Equation 2 known as Langevin's formula provided that there are no unpaired spins. In the absence of spin all atom have a non-zero but small net diamagnetic susceptibility. As in eq.(1), the susceptibility is the sum of diamagnetic and paramagnetic. In most molecules the former dominates, and most molecules without

unpaired electron spins are diamagnetic, with molar susceptibilities proportional to $\langle r^2 \rangle$. Only when there are low-lying excited electronic states may the orbital paramagnetic term dominate the Langevin's term and the molecule be weakly paramagnetic. In more compact form the equation 2 becomes[16,17]:

September - October

2015

RJPBCS

Page No. 1366

6(5)



$$\chi_{diam} = -\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)\alpha^2 \langle \Psi \mid \sum_{i=1}^n r^2 \mid \Psi \rangle....(3)$$

Where $\alpha = 7.297353 \times 10^{-3}$ is the fine structure constant and the term $\langle r^2 \rangle$ is the square of expectation values of electron distance relative to nucleus.

The Nuclear magnetic Shielding constant $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle magn}$:

For the atomic and ionic system, the Nuclear Shielding constant[15,18] :

The equation (4) is called Lamb formula ,the magnetic of the diamagnetic contribution to the shielding is therefore depends inversely on the expectation distance of the electron $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ from the nucleus [19].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The diamagnetic susceptibility \mathcal{X}_{diam} and nuclear magnetic shielding constants σ_{magn} , we must determine $\langle r^2 \rangle$ which requires the determination of the electron charge distribution within the system. However, the calculations involving quantum mechanics, that the concept of orbits replaced by wave function and quantities $\langle r^2 \rangle$ and $\langle r^{-1} \rangle$ has a different significance, which based on the uncorrelated Hartree-Fock wave functions taken from [14], an given in the table (1).

Table (1):The expectation values of inter-electronic distances for several atomic and ionic systems (atomic units)[14].

Atom-ion	$\langle \frac{1}{r} \rangle$	$\langle r^2 \rangle$
₂He	3.3746	2.3690
₃ Li	5.716	18.633
₄ Be	8.4089	17.3204
₅ B	11.3795	15.8469
₁₀ Ne	31.1133	9.3681
₂ H ⁻¹	1.3717	18.2799
₄ Li ⁻¹	5.870	87.79333
₄ B ⁺¹	10.9189	7.95050
₅ C ⁺¹	13.4229	4.6239
₄ N ⁺³	15.9249	3.0412
₂ C ⁺⁴	18.4216	2.1576

The diamagnetic susceptibility constants for several atomic and ionic systems are given in the table 2 are calculated by the equation 3. The present results may be summarized as follows:

6(5)



The comparison of diamagnetic susceptibility χ_{diam} for the electron systems such as that of He

with $_2C^{^{+4}}$ reveals that the their values with difference(8.9%) and χ_{diam} of the He is greater due to the Columbic attraction force is less than that of $_2C^{^{+4}}$. In other words, the latter experienced more force than the He, thus the result is the shrinking of the electron shells inward nucleus for $_2C^{^{+4}}$. The same situation is correct for both $_4Li^{^{-1}}$ and Li.

The comparison of diamagnetic susceptibility of positive and negative ions like :₄Li⁻¹and Li or ₂He and ₂H⁻¹ ¹reveals that the χ_{diam} values are proportional to the number of electrons within systems which increased

predominately ,also from Langevin's equation its evident that the outer electrons(largest $\langle r^2 \rangle$) of electron systems, makes the largest contribution to the diamagnetic susceptibility .The results in table 2 ,shows that

the nuclear magnetic shielding constants σ_{magn} decreases as Z decreases for both neutral atoms , positive and negative ions , this behavior can be explained due to the attraction force between the electrons and protons within nucleus.

Atom-ion	$\chi_{diam} imes 10^{-6}$	σ_{magn} ×10 ⁻⁶
₂ He	21.0247	5.2598
₃ Li	165.3678	3.1053
₄ Be	153.71855	2.11
₅B	140.64123	1.5598
₁₀ Ne	83.14188	0.5705
₂ H ⁻¹	162.234	12.940
₄ Li ⁻¹	779.1568	3.0660
₄ B ⁺¹	70.650	1.62562
₅ C ⁺¹	41.03711	1.32236
₄ N ⁺³	26.990	1.1146
₂ C ⁺⁴	19.1487	0.9635

Table(2):The expectation values of Diamagnetic susceptibility χ_{diam} for several atomic and ionic systems (atomic units).

Concluding remarks

The results for χ_{diam} and σ_{magn} refers to that the present method is valid for calculations of the diamagnetic shielding constants of light elements. The calculated results fairly well depends on the <r²>and <r⁻¹> respectively, which in turns, depends atomic number Z within systems.



REFERENCES

- [1] Antonio Rizzo, Trygve Helgaker, Kenneth Ruud, AndrzejBarszczewicz, Michal"Jaszun' ski and Poul Jorgensen "Electric field dependence of magnetic properties: Multi-configurational self-consistent field calculations of hyper magnetizabilities and nuclear shielding polarizabilities of N₂, C₂H₂, HCN, and H₂O"J. Chem. Phys. 102 (22), USA,(1995.)
- [2] H. Fukui "Theory and calculation of nuclear shielding constants "Progress in Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy 31 3 17-342 Elsevier,(1997).
- [3] Khalil H. AL-Bayati ,Ali K. Taki and Mohamed.N.Murshed" "A study of some atomic properties for Helike selected ions"Um-Salama Science Journal, Baghdad Vol.4(2)(2007).
- [4] Hayder saad abdual baqi "Calculation of The Diamagnetic Susceptibility χ , Nuclear magnetic shielding constants x_d and Fermi Hole $\Delta f(r_{ij})$ For the lons AI^{+10} , Mg^{+9} and Si^{+11} By Employing Hartree- Fock Wave Function". Al-Quadsia science magazine (2009).
- [5] Enas M. AL-Robayi "Momentum evaluations of the electron-nuclearshielding constant for manyelectron systems" Global Advanced Research Journal of Engineering, Technology and Innovation (ISSN: 2315-5124) Vol. 2(8) pp. 205-209, (2013).
- [6] Abrar M. Algubili, Enas M. Alrobayi, and Ayad F. Alkaim, Int. J. Chem. Sci., 2015. 13(2): p. 911-921.
- [7] Inas M. Al-Robayi, Correlation effects in He-like ions: An analysis of the ground state in momentum space. Int. J. Chem. Sci., 2014. 12(4): p. 1311-1318.
- [8] H. Nakatsuji, T. Nakajima, M. Hada, H. Takashima, S Tanaka, Chem. Phys. Lett. 247. 418.(1995).
- [9] A. M. Aljeboree, Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences 2015. 6(4): p. 778-788.
- [10] Z. A. Hadi, A. M. Aljeboree and A. F. Alkaim, Int. J. Chem. Sci., 2014. 12(4): p. 1273-1288.
- [11] H. Kaneko, M. Hada, T. Nakajima, H. Nakatsuji, Chem.Phys. Lett. 261. 1(1996).
- [12] A. F. Alkaim, and F. H. Hussein, Int. J. Chem. Sci., 2012. 10(1): p. 586-598.
- [13] C.C. Ballard, M. Hada, H. Kaneko, H. Nakatsuji, Chem. Phys. Lett. 254 .170 .(1996).
- [14] H. Nakatsuji, M. Hada, H. Kaneko, C.C. Ballard, Chem.Phys. Lett. 255 .195 .(1996)
- [15] M. Hada, H. Nakatsuji, H. Kaneko, C.C. Ballard, Che .Phys. Lett. 261 .7(1996).
- [16] Richard E. and S.Larsson " correlation effects to the expectation values of atomic systems "InternationalJ.of Quantum ChemistryVol.XII, 83-92 (1977).
- [17] Peter Atkins and Ronald Friedman"MOLECULAR QUANTUM MECHANICS"Oxford University Press UK(2005).
- [18] King, F.W. Phys. Rev A."Calculations on the 2S ground state of Be II" 38, (12): 6017-6026(1988).
- [19] AL-Kenany, R.E. "Studying x-ray atomic scattering form factor for K-shell and total atom for three and four electronsystems" M.Sc. Thesis ,College of Science, Nahrain University.,-Baghdad (2006).
- [20] King, F.W., "Progress of precision calculations for the ground state of atomic lithium" Journal Molecular structure (Theo chem.), 400:7-56(1997).
- [21] D.Chattopadhyay and P.C.Rakahit "Quantum mechanics , Statistical Mechanics and Solid state physics " S.Chand and Company Ltd, India (2003).